

**TRANSMITTAL LETTER TO THE UNITED STATES
DESIGNATED/ELECTED OFFICE (DO/EO/US)
CONCERNING A FILING UNDER 35 U.S.C. 371**

1752-0143P

U.S. APPLICATION NO. (If known, see 37 CFR 1.5)

09/787793

INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION NO.

INTERNATIONAL FILING DATE

PRIORITY DATE CLAIMED

PCT/JP99/05226

September 24, 1999

September 25, 1998

TITLE OF INVENTION

DENITRIFYING COMPOSITION FOR REMOVING NITRATE NITROGEN AND PROCESS FOR PRODUCING THE SAME

APPLICANT(S) FOR DO/EO/US

Atsushi YATAGAI, Hiroshi MASUJIMA, Takuma YABE, Tomomichi GUNJI and Toru OISHI

Applicant herewith submits to the United States Designated/Elected Office (DO/EO/US) the following items and other information:

1. ☒ This is a **FIRST** submission of items concerning a filing under 35 U.S.C. 371.
2. ☐ This is a **SECOND** or **SUBSEQUENT** submission of items concerning a filing under 35 U.S.C. 371.
3. ☒ This express request to begin national examination procedures (35 U.S.C. 371(f)) at any time rather than delay examination until the expiration of the applicable time limit set in 35 U.S.C. 371(b) and PCT Articles 22 and 39 (1).
4. ☐ The US has been elected by the expiration of 19 months from the priority date (Article 31).
5. ☒ A copy of the International Application as filed (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(2))
 - a. ☐ is transmitted herewith (required only if not transmitted by the International Bureau).
 - b. ☒ has been transmitted by the International Bureau.
 - c. ☐ is not required, as the application was filed in the United States Receiving Office (RO/US).
6. ☒ An English language translation of the International Application as filed (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(2)).
 - a. ☒ is transmitted herewith.
 - b. ☐ has been previously submitted under 35 U.S.C. 154(d)(4)
7. ☒ Amendments to the claims of the International Application under PCT Article 19 (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(3)).
 - a. ☐ are transmitted herewith (required only if not transmitted by the International Bureau).
 - b. ☐ have been transmitted by the International Bureau.
 - c. ☐ have not been made; however, the time limit for making such amendments has NOT expired.
 - d. ☒ have not been made and will not be made.
8. ☐ An English language translation of the amendments to the claims under PCT Article 19 (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(3)).
9. ☐ An oath or declaration of the inventor(s) (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(4)).
10. ☐ An English language translation of the annexes of the International Preliminary Examination Report under PCT Article 36 (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(5)).

Items 11. to 20. below concern document(s) or information included:

11. ☒ An Information Disclosure Statement under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98./International Search Report with cited references
12. ☐ An assignment document for recording. A separate cover sheet in compliance with 37 CFR 3.28 and 3.31 is included.
13. ☒ A FIRST preliminary amendment.
14. ☐ A SECOND or SUBSEQUENT preliminary amendment.
15. ☐ A substitute specification.
16. ☐ A change of power of attorney and/or address letter.
17. ☐ A computer-readable form of the sequence listing in accordance with PCT Rule 13ter.2 and 35 U.S.C. 1.821-1.825.
18. ☐ A second copy of the published international application under 35 U.S.C. 154(d)(4).
19. ☐ A second copy of the English language translation of the international application under 35 U.S.C. 154(d)(4).
20. ☒ Other items or information:
 1. Three (3) sheets of drawings
 2. International Preliminary Examination Report (PCT/IPEA/409)
 3. Verification of Translation

U.S. APPLICATION NO. 097787793 INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION NO. PCT/JP99/05226 ATTORNEY'S DOCKET NUMBER 1752-0143P	<table border="1" style="width:100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <th style="width:60%;">21. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The following fees are submitted:</th> <th style="width:20%;">CALCULATIONS</th> <th style="width:20%;">PTO USE ONLY</th> </tr> <tr> <td> BASIC NATIONAL FEE (37 CFR 1.492(a)(1)-(5)): Neither international preliminary examination fee (37 CFR 1.482) nor international search fee (37 CFR 1.445(a)(2)) paid to USPTO and International Search Report not prepared by the EPO or JPO. \$1,000.00 International preliminary examination fee (37 CFR 1.482) not paid to USPTO but International Search Report prepared by the EPO or JPO \$860.00 International preliminary examination fee (37 CFR 1.482) not paid to USPTO but international search fee (37 CFR 1.445(a)(2)) paid to USPTO. \$710.00 International preliminary examination fee (37 CFR 1.482) paid to USPTO but all claims did not satisfy provisions of PCT Article 33(1)-(4) \$690.00 International preliminary examination fee (37 CFR 1.482) paid to USPTO and all claims satisfied provisions of PCT Article 33(1)-(4) \$100.00 ENTER APPROPRIATE BASIC FEE AMOUNT = </td> <td style="vertical-align: bottom;">\$ 860.00</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td> Surcharge of \$130.00 for furnishing the oath or declaration later than <input type="checkbox"/> 20 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 30 months from the earliest claimed priority date (37 CFR 1.492(e)). </td> <td>\$ 130.00</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td> <table border="1" style="width:100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="width:20%;">CLAIMS</th> <th style="width:20%;">NUMBER FILED</th> <th style="width:20%;">NUMBER EXTRA</th> <th style="width:20%;">RATE</th> <th style="width:20%;"></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Total Claims</td> <td>18 - 20 =</td> <td>0</td> <td>X \$18.00</td> <td>\$</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Independent Claims</td> <td>2 - 3 =</td> <td>0</td> <td>X \$80.00</td> <td>\$</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="4">MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM(S) (if applicable) yes + \$270.00</td> <td>\$ 270.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="4">TOTAL OF ABOVE CALCULATIONS =</td> <td>\$ 1260.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="4"> <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27. The fees indicated above are reduced by 1/2. </td> <td>\$</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="4">SUBTOTAL =</td> <td>\$ 1260.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="4"> Processing fee of \$130.00 for furnishing the English translation later than <input type="checkbox"/> 20 <input type="checkbox"/> 30 months from the earliest claimed priority date (37 CFR 1.492(f)). </td> <td>\$</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="4">TOTAL NATIONAL FEE =</td> <td>\$ 1260.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="4"> Fee for recording the enclosed assignment (37 CFR 1.21(h)). The assignment must be accompanied by an appropriate cover sheet (37 CFR 3.28, 3.31). \$40.00 per property + </td> <td>\$</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="4">TOTAL FEES ENCLOSED =</td> <td>\$ 1260.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="4"></td> <td>Amount to be refunded \$</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="4"></td> <td>charged \$</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> </td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>a. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> A check in the amount of \$ <u>1260.00</u> to cover the above fees is enclosed.</p> <p>b. <input type="checkbox"/> Please charge my Deposit Account. No. _____ in the amount of \$ _____ to cover the above fees. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.</p> <p>c. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required, or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. <u>02-2448</u>.</p> <p>NOTE: Where an appropriate time limit under 37 CFR 1.494 or 1.495 has not been met, a petition to revive (37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b)) must be filed and granted to restore the application to pending status.</p> <p>Send all correspondence to: Birch, Stewart, Kolasch & Birch, LLP or Customer No. 2292 P.O. Box 747 Falls Church, VA 22040-0747 (703)205-8000</p> <p>Date: <u>March 23, 2001</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;">By <u>Gerald M. Murphy, Jr.</u> # <u>36,623</u> Gerald M. Murphy, Jr., #28,977</p>	21. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The following fees are submitted:	CALCULATIONS	PTO USE ONLY	BASIC NATIONAL FEE (37 CFR 1.492(a)(1)-(5)): Neither international preliminary examination fee (37 CFR 1.482) nor international search fee (37 CFR 1.445(a)(2)) paid to USPTO and International Search Report not prepared by the EPO or JPO. \$1,000.00 International preliminary examination fee (37 CFR 1.482) not paid to USPTO but International Search Report prepared by the EPO or JPO \$860.00 International preliminary examination fee (37 CFR 1.482) not paid to USPTO but international search fee (37 CFR 1.445(a)(2)) paid to USPTO. \$710.00 International preliminary examination fee (37 CFR 1.482) paid to USPTO but all claims did not satisfy provisions of PCT Article 33(1)-(4) \$690.00 International preliminary examination fee (37 CFR 1.482) paid to USPTO and all claims satisfied provisions of PCT Article 33(1)-(4) \$100.00 ENTER APPROPRIATE BASIC FEE AMOUNT =	\$ 860.00		Surcharge of \$130.00 for furnishing the oath or declaration later than <input type="checkbox"/> 20 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 30 months from the earliest claimed priority date (37 CFR 1.492(e)).	\$ 130.00		<table border="1" style="width:100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="width:20%;">CLAIMS</th> <th style="width:20%;">NUMBER FILED</th> <th style="width:20%;">NUMBER EXTRA</th> <th style="width:20%;">RATE</th> <th style="width:20%;"></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Total Claims</td> <td>18 - 20 =</td> <td>0</td> <td>X \$18.00</td> <td>\$</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Independent Claims</td> <td>2 - 3 =</td> <td>0</td> <td>X \$80.00</td> <td>\$</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="4">MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM(S) (if applicable) yes + \$270.00</td> <td>\$ 270.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="4">TOTAL OF ABOVE CALCULATIONS =</td> <td>\$ 1260.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="4"> <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27. The fees indicated above are reduced by 1/2. </td> <td>\$</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="4">SUBTOTAL =</td> <td>\$ 1260.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="4"> Processing fee of \$130.00 for furnishing the English translation later than <input type="checkbox"/> 20 <input type="checkbox"/> 30 months from the earliest claimed priority date (37 CFR 1.492(f)). </td> <td>\$</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="4">TOTAL NATIONAL FEE =</td> <td>\$ 1260.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="4"> Fee for recording the enclosed assignment (37 CFR 1.21(h)). The assignment must be accompanied by an appropriate cover sheet (37 CFR 3.28, 3.31). \$40.00 per property + </td> <td>\$</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="4">TOTAL FEES ENCLOSED =</td> <td>\$ 1260.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="4"></td> <td>Amount to be refunded \$</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="4"></td> <td>charged \$</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	CLAIMS	NUMBER FILED	NUMBER EXTRA	RATE		Total Claims	18 - 20 =	0	X \$18.00	\$	Independent Claims	2 - 3 =	0	X \$80.00	\$	MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM(S) (if applicable) yes + \$270.00				\$ 270.00	TOTAL OF ABOVE CALCULATIONS =				\$ 1260.00	<input type="checkbox"/> Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27. The fees indicated above are reduced by 1/2.				\$	SUBTOTAL =				\$ 1260.00	Processing fee of \$130.00 for furnishing the English translation later than <input type="checkbox"/> 20 <input type="checkbox"/> 30 months from the earliest claimed priority date (37 CFR 1.492(f)).				\$	TOTAL NATIONAL FEE =				\$ 1260.00	Fee for recording the enclosed assignment (37 CFR 1.21(h)). The assignment must be accompanied by an appropriate cover sheet (37 CFR 3.28, 3.31). \$40.00 per property +				\$	TOTAL FEES ENCLOSED =				\$ 1260.00					Amount to be refunded \$					charged \$	
21. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The following fees are submitted:	CALCULATIONS	PTO USE ONLY																																																																											
BASIC NATIONAL FEE (37 CFR 1.492(a)(1)-(5)): Neither international preliminary examination fee (37 CFR 1.482) nor international search fee (37 CFR 1.445(a)(2)) paid to USPTO and International Search Report not prepared by the EPO or JPO. \$1,000.00 International preliminary examination fee (37 CFR 1.482) not paid to USPTO but International Search Report prepared by the EPO or JPO \$860.00 International preliminary examination fee (37 CFR 1.482) not paid to USPTO but international search fee (37 CFR 1.445(a)(2)) paid to USPTO. \$710.00 International preliminary examination fee (37 CFR 1.482) paid to USPTO but all claims did not satisfy provisions of PCT Article 33(1)-(4) \$690.00 International preliminary examination fee (37 CFR 1.482) paid to USPTO and all claims satisfied provisions of PCT Article 33(1)-(4) \$100.00 ENTER APPROPRIATE BASIC FEE AMOUNT =	\$ 860.00																																																																												
Surcharge of \$130.00 for furnishing the oath or declaration later than <input type="checkbox"/> 20 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 30 months from the earliest claimed priority date (37 CFR 1.492(e)).	\$ 130.00																																																																												
<table border="1" style="width:100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="width:20%;">CLAIMS</th> <th style="width:20%;">NUMBER FILED</th> <th style="width:20%;">NUMBER EXTRA</th> <th style="width:20%;">RATE</th> <th style="width:20%;"></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Total Claims</td> <td>18 - 20 =</td> <td>0</td> <td>X \$18.00</td> <td>\$</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Independent Claims</td> <td>2 - 3 =</td> <td>0</td> <td>X \$80.00</td> <td>\$</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="4">MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM(S) (if applicable) yes + \$270.00</td> <td>\$ 270.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="4">TOTAL OF ABOVE CALCULATIONS =</td> <td>\$ 1260.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="4"> <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27. The fees indicated above are reduced by 1/2. </td> <td>\$</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="4">SUBTOTAL =</td> <td>\$ 1260.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="4"> Processing fee of \$130.00 for furnishing the English translation later than <input type="checkbox"/> 20 <input type="checkbox"/> 30 months from the earliest claimed priority date (37 CFR 1.492(f)). </td> <td>\$</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="4">TOTAL NATIONAL FEE =</td> <td>\$ 1260.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="4"> Fee for recording the enclosed assignment (37 CFR 1.21(h)). The assignment must be accompanied by an appropriate cover sheet (37 CFR 3.28, 3.31). \$40.00 per property + </td> <td>\$</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="4">TOTAL FEES ENCLOSED =</td> <td>\$ 1260.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="4"></td> <td>Amount to be refunded \$</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="4"></td> <td>charged \$</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	CLAIMS	NUMBER FILED	NUMBER EXTRA	RATE		Total Claims	18 - 20 =	0	X \$18.00	\$	Independent Claims	2 - 3 =	0	X \$80.00	\$	MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM(S) (if applicable) yes + \$270.00				\$ 270.00	TOTAL OF ABOVE CALCULATIONS =				\$ 1260.00	<input type="checkbox"/> Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27. The fees indicated above are reduced by 1/2.				\$	SUBTOTAL =				\$ 1260.00	Processing fee of \$130.00 for furnishing the English translation later than <input type="checkbox"/> 20 <input type="checkbox"/> 30 months from the earliest claimed priority date (37 CFR 1.492(f)).				\$	TOTAL NATIONAL FEE =				\$ 1260.00	Fee for recording the enclosed assignment (37 CFR 1.21(h)). The assignment must be accompanied by an appropriate cover sheet (37 CFR 3.28, 3.31). \$40.00 per property +				\$	TOTAL FEES ENCLOSED =				\$ 1260.00					Amount to be refunded \$					charged \$												
CLAIMS	NUMBER FILED	NUMBER EXTRA	RATE																																																																										
Total Claims	18 - 20 =	0	X \$18.00	\$																																																																									
Independent Claims	2 - 3 =	0	X \$80.00	\$																																																																									
MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM(S) (if applicable) yes + \$270.00				\$ 270.00																																																																									
TOTAL OF ABOVE CALCULATIONS =				\$ 1260.00																																																																									
<input type="checkbox"/> Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27. The fees indicated above are reduced by 1/2.				\$																																																																									
SUBTOTAL =				\$ 1260.00																																																																									
Processing fee of \$130.00 for furnishing the English translation later than <input type="checkbox"/> 20 <input type="checkbox"/> 30 months from the earliest claimed priority date (37 CFR 1.492(f)).				\$																																																																									
TOTAL NATIONAL FEE =				\$ 1260.00																																																																									
Fee for recording the enclosed assignment (37 CFR 1.21(h)). The assignment must be accompanied by an appropriate cover sheet (37 CFR 3.28, 3.31). \$40.00 per property +				\$																																																																									
TOTAL FEES ENCLOSED =				\$ 1260.00																																																																									
				Amount to be refunded \$																																																																									
				charged \$																																																																									

/GMM/crt

09/787793

JCO8 Rec'd PCT/PTO 23 MAR 2001

PATENT
1752-0143P

IN THE U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Atsushi YATAGAI, Hiroshi MASUJIMA, Takuma
YABE, Tomomichi GUNJI and Toru OISHI

Int'l. Appl. No.: PCT/JP99/05226 Conf.:

Appl. No.: NEW Group:

Filed: March 23, 2001 Examiner:

For: DENITRIFYING COMPOSITION FOR
REMOVING NITRATE NITROGEN AND
PROCESS FOR PRODUCING THE SAME

PRELIMINARY AMENDMENT

BOX PATENT APPLICATION

Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, DC 20231

March 23, 2001

Sir:

The following Preliminary Amendments and Remarks are respectfully submitted in connection with the above-identified application.

AMENDMENTS

IN THE SPECIFICATION:

Please amend the specification as follows:

Before line 1, insert --This application is the national phase under 35 U.S.C. § 371 of PCT International Application No. PCT/JP99/05226 which has an International filing date of September 24, 1999, which designated the United States of America and was published in English.

IN THE CLAIMS

6. (Amended) A denitrifying composition as described in claim 1 wherein said sulfur is amorphous sulfur.

8. (Amended) A denitrifying material comprising a mixture of a denitrifying composition as described in claim 1 and mineral fibers.

09787793-051201

REMARKS

Claims 1-14 are pending in this application. Claims 6 and 8 have been amended to delete improper multiple dependencies.

The specification has been amended to provide a cross-reference to the previously filed International Application.

Attached hereto is a marked-up version of the changes made to the application by this Preliminary Amendment.

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies, to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.16 or under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17; particularly, extension of time fees.

Respectfully submitted,

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

By *Gerald M. Murphy, Jr.* 36,623
Gerald M. Murphy, Jr., #28,977

GMM/crt
1752-0143P

P.O. Box 747
Falls Church, VA 22040-0747
(703) 205-8000

Attachment: Version with Markings to Show Changes Made

VERSION WITH MARKINGS TO SHOW CHANGES MADE

IN THE CLAIMS

The claims have been amended as follows:

6. (Amended) A denitrifying composition as described in [any one of claims 1 to 5] claim 1 wherein said sulfur is amorphous sulfur.

8. (Amended) A denitrifying material comprising a mixture of a denitrifying composition as described in [any one of claims 1 to 7] claim 1 and mineral fibers.

1752-0143P-001201

SPECIFICATION

Title of the Invention

Denitrifying Composition for Removing Nitrate Nitrogen and Process for
Producing the Same

Field of Technology

This invention relates to a denitrifying composition for microbially removing nitrates nitrogen and also to a process for producing the same. The denitrifying composition is used for purifying water or as a substrate for culture medium in cultivation of microorganisms.


Background Technology

Among technologies for removing nitrates nitrogen intended for the purification of water, heterotrophic denitrification with the use of methanol or an organic carbon source in sludge as a hydrogen donor has been known. This process is influenced by decomposing systems other than the target microorganisms and its denitrifying efficiency per substrate is low; however, it is capable of removing nitrates nitrogen relatively simply and is put to practical use in those treating facilities which are strictly controlled not to discharge methanol or sludge to outside after its use in denitrification. That is, the denitrifying operation according to this process cannot be completed by merely passing water to be treated through a single denitrifying tank and requires many treating steps and tanks and facilities.

In consequence, it has been difficult to apply this process to effluent of underdrains in farms; the effluent in question contains nitrogenous

components mostly consisting of inorganic nitrates nitrogen and the concentration of nitrates nitrogen has become an issue in recent years. Moreover, there is the possibility of newly generating water pollution unless a denitrifying substrate is injected precisely in an amount conforming to the flow rate of the effluent under treatment and to the amount of nitrates nitrogen therein contained and the operation of heterotrophic denitrification requires equipment and full-time careful control such as installed and practiced in a sewage treating plant.

In contrast, autotrophic denitrification with the use of sulfur or a sulfur compound is limited to denitrification by sulfur-oxidizing bacteria; hence, it is effected at a high denitrifying efficiency per substrate and produces sulfate ions which are not limiting factors of water quality. Thus, the process offers an advantage that, where the content of sulfate ions is 1% or less, the treated water can be discharged as it is after control of its pH by a calcium compound. An example of sulfur-oxidizing bacteria is *Thiobacillus denitrificans* and a reaction represented by the following equation is known.



A number of processes for autotrophic denitrification with the use of sulfur or a sulfur compound have been proposed, for example, in the following patent literature: JP (Japan Patent) 62-56798 B (1987), JP 63-45274 B (1988), JP 60-3876 B (1985), JP 01-31958 B (1989), JP 04-9119 B (1992), JP 04-74598 A(1992), JP 04-151000 A (1992), JP 04-197498 A(1992), and JP 06-182393 A (1992).

Of the aforementioned processes, those described in JP 62-56798 B (1987)

and JP 63-45274 B (1988) have been developed for treating effluent containing a variety of nitrogen compounds and sulfur compounds and respectively require a pretreatment at pH 3 or less or a step for cultivating activated sludge seeded with a group of sulfur-oxidizing bacteria as dominant. In consequence, they cannot be said to be efficient in case the principal target of denitrification is nitrates nitrogen.

The processes described in JP 60-3876 B (1985) and JP 01-31958 B (1989), although not limited to denitrification aimed at nitrates nitrogen, respectively carry out denitrification by sulfur-oxidizing bacteria in the denitrification step following the nitrification step. However, they cannot carry out autotrophic denitrification efficiently because a sulfur component needs to be added in an amount conforming to that of nitrates nitrogen in the object to be treated or minute bubbles of nitrogen gas produced by denitrification cannot discharge by themselves and their discharge separately requires an aeration tank.

The process described in JP 04-9119 B (1992) relates to simultaneous removal of nitrogen and phosphoric acid from effluent with the use of marble composed of calcium carbonate and sulfur particles. However, the particles of marble and sulfur are not used in the same step and the process is fundamentally an aerobic-anaerobic activated sludge treatment. Therefore, unlike autotrophic denitrification with sulfur alone as denitrifying substrate, the process requires control of sludge and is not efficient for direct denitrification of nitrates nitrogen.

The process described in JP 04-74598 A (1992) is fundamentally an anaerobic-aerobic activated sludge treatment, but it performs more stably in removal of nitrates nitrogen than the aforementioned processes because of the

introduction of sodium hydrogen carbonate or calcium carbonate as carbon source. The process, however, is not efficient for direct denitrification of nitrates nitrogen since it is low in denitrifying efficiency on account of pyrites being used as sulfur source and, besides, it remains in the category of activated sludge processes requiring a number of treating tanks.

The process described in JP 04-151000 A (1992) relates to autotrophic denitrification by sulfur-oxidizing bacteria with sodium hydrogen carbonate or calcium carbonate supplied as carbon source and a thiosulfate salt used as sulfur source and electron donor. The thiosulfate salt must be injected in an amount conforming to that of nitrates nitrogen in the object to be treated and, like the aforementioned, the process is not efficient for direct denitrification of nitrates nitrogen.

The process disclosed in JP 04-197498 A (1992) relates to autotrophic denitrification by sulfur-oxidizing bacteria as a pretreatment in purification of water. In this case as well, the efficiency drops markedly unless sodium sulfite is added in an amount conforming to that of the object of denitrification contained in raw water and the process cannot be adapted easily to direct denitrification of nitrates nitrogen.

Any one of the processes described thus far does not aim at direct denitrification of nitrates nitrogen as its object and most of them are no better than activated sludge processes and inefficient from the standpoint of denitrification. On the contrary, the process described in JP 06-182393 A (1994) can remove nitrate nitrogen efficiently by sulfur-oxidizing bacteria.

This process, however, uses sulfur powder that is highly reactive toward sulfur-oxidizing bacteria and effects denitrification by passing raw water

09787793.061201

containing nitrates nitrogen and the like through a fluidized bed reactor vessel in which a layer filled with sulfur powder is formed. Motive power is necessary to release nitrogen gas adhering to and between the sulfur particles in the course of denitrification and, unless the gas is released, the sulfur particles exhibiting good denitrifying efficiency become wrapped in bubbles and cannot participate in denitrification any longer. The same is true for the bubbles between particles. Other difficulties are a necessity for separate correction of the acidity of sulfuric acid being formed and a necessity for forced passage of raw water at all times to prevent the occurrence of high acidity which would stop the denitrification. Thus, general application of this process, for example, to the treatment of effluent of underdrains would be difficult to realize because it would incur considerable capital and running costs.

In addition, the aforementioned processes do not provide any concrete measures for supply of carbon source which is just as important as sulfur source and for control of the pH, namely, keeping the pH in the vicinity of 7, in order to maintain the activity of sulfur-oxidizing bacteria and effect denitrification with certainty.

Recent knowledge obtained in a learned society for water treatment suggests the importance of taking the following actions in order to effect denitrification smoothly by sulfur-oxidizing bacteria.

That is, it is essential to accelerate the multiplication of sulfur-oxidizing bacteria in order to sustain the denitrification reaction by the bacteria in question and, to accomplish this, it is necessary to supply with certainty carbon source that is required for the synthesis of bacteria in addition to sulfur that is a source of nutrient and to control the pH generally at 7 or higher to

maintain the microbial activity. Reference should be made to (4-28) Removal of Nitrate Nitrogen from Actual Sewage by Denitrification with Sulfur, the 49th National Meeting for Presentation of Researches on Water Supply, May, 1998, Abstracts of Papers, pp. 238-239 (4. Section of Water Purification); Japan Water Supply Society. However, it is not always necessary to keep the pH at 7 or more as will be described below.

As illustrated above, the most efficient way for safe removal of nitrates nitrogen is autotrophic denitrification by sulfur-oxidizing bacteria, but it has been difficult to produce surely and easily the effect of this process.

Accordingly, an object of this invention is to provide a denitrifying composition for microbially removing nitrates nitrogen which does not require supply of carbon source that is essential to microorganisms, exhibits a good balance in the system before and after the reaction, reduces the influences of pH and chemical substances to the water area, and performs stably.

Another object of this invention is to provide a denitrifying material which can be brought into contact with effluent even during a dearth of water and can maintain a high denitrifying efficiency at all times.

A further object of this invention is to provide a process for producing the aforementioned denitrifying composition in a short time at low cost.

Disclosure of the Invention

Thus, this invention relates to a denitrifying composition for microbially removing nitrates nitrogen comprising particles of calcium carbonate dispersed in sulfur. This invention also relates to a denitrifying composition comprising particles of calcium carbonate and particles of a substance possessing a cation

exchange capacity or a microporous substance dispersed in sulfur. This invention further relates to a denitrifying material comprising a mixture of the aforementioned denitrifying composition and mineral fibers. This invention still further relates to a process for producing a denitrifying composition which comprises heating powder of calcium carbonate and sulfur thereby melting the sulfur and dispersing the powder of calcium carbonate in the liquid sulfur and solidifying the dispersion by rapid cooling.

A denitrifying composition of this invention comprises a substance mainly consisting of calcium carbonate and sulfur as main components. Moreover, a denitrifying composition of this invention is a granular or massive substance comprising a substance mainly consisting of calcium carbonate and sulfur as main components and a microporous substance as a coexisting component; more particularly, a denitrifying composition of this invention is a granular or massive substance comprising 10-15 parts by weight of a substance mainly consisting of calcium carbonate and 10 parts by weight of sulfur as main components and 1-3 parts by weight of a microporous substance as a coexisting component. Still more, a denitrifying composition of this invention is a granular or massive substance comprising a substance mainly consisting of calcium carbonate and sulfur as main components and a microporous mineral product and/or a processed product thereof as a coexisting component; more particularly, a denitrifying composition of this invention is a granular or massive substance comprising 10-15 parts by weight of a substance mainly consisting of calcium carbonate and 10 parts by weight of sulfur as main components and 1-3 parts by weight of a microporous mineral product and/or a processed product thereof as a coexisting component. Furthermore, a

denitrifying composition of this invention is a granular or massive substance comprising a substance mainly consisting of calcium carbonate and sulfur as main components and a microporous carbide as a coexisting component; more particularly, a denitrifying composition of this invention is a granular or massive substance comprising 10-15 parts by weight of a substance mainly consisting of calcium carbonate and 10 parts by weight of sulfur as main components and 1-3 parts by weight of a microporous carbide as a coexisting component. Still further, a denitrifying composition of this invention is a granular or massive substance comprising a substance mainly consisting of calcium carbonate and sulfur as main components and a microporous mineral product and/or a processed product thereof and a microporous carbide as coexisting components; more particularly, a denitrifying composition of this invention is a granular or massive substance comprising 10-15 parts by weight of a substance mainly consisting of calcium carbonate and 10 parts by weight of sulfur as main components and 1-3 parts by weight of a microporous mineral product and/or a processed product thereof and a microporous carbide as coexisting components. Finally, a denitrifying composition of this invention is a granular or massive substance comprising 10-15 parts by weight of calcium carbonate and 10 parts by weight of sulfur as main components and 1-3 parts by weight of kieselguhr and/or carbon derived from rice hull as coexisting components.

Since a denitrifying composition of this invention contains nutrients for sulfur-oxidizing bacteria which act to remove nitrates nitrogen and is consumed as denitrification progresses, it may be called either a composition for giving microbial activity or a substrate for removing nitrates nitrogen.

Here, the nitrates nitrogen includes nitrates ions and nitrite ions.

A denitrifying composition of this invention contains calcium carbonate and sulfur as essential components; concretely, there exist a composition comprising calcium carbonate (including a substance mainly comprising of calcium carbonate) and sulfur (including a substance mainly comprising of sulfur) and a composition comprising calcium carbonate and sulfur as main components and a microporous substance as a coexisting component.

Calcium carbonate or a substance mainly consisting of calcium carbonate contained in a denitrifying composition of this invention is exemplified by calcium carbonate, powdered or crushed limestone, powdered or crushed seashell, powdered or crushed fossil of seashell, powdered or crushed dolomite, and powdered or crushed coral and they may be used either singly or as a mixture of two kinds or more.

Calcium carbonate or a substance mainly consisting of calcium carbonate to be used for producing a denitrifying composition of this invention is prepared as powder with a specific surface area of 2,000-5,000 cm^2/g , preferably 2,500-4,000 cm^2/g , by air permeability determination. The dispersibility to sulfur decreases if the specific surface area is too large or too small. An average particle size of less than 1 mm is beneficial to good dispersibility.

Sulfur contained in a denitrifying composition of this invention is preferably amorphous sulfur that is obtained by rapidly cooling molten sulfur. Sulfur to be used for producing a denitrifying composition of this invention is under no restriction as long as it can be melted and solidified by rapid cooling; for example, sulfur recovered in a plant for desulfurizing petroleum or coal and natural sulfur are useful, either as solid such as powder and granule or

molten, and such sulfur may contain contaminants.

A microporous substance contained in a denitrifying composition of this invention is any substance with pores suitable for sulfur-oxidizing bacteria to settle in, preferably a mineral product or a processed product thereof and a carbide. Mineral products or processed products thereof include kieselguhr, calcined kieselguhr, tuff, kokaseki, perlite, pearlite, porous ceramic, brick, ALC, pumice stone, pozzolan, shirasu, shirasu balloon, calcined expanded shale, attapulgite, sepiolite, cristobalite, sericite, acid clay, and illite. Carbides are carbonaceous materials such as charcoal and include charcoal, carbon derived from coconut shell, carbon derived from rice hull, coke, carbon derived from bamboo, and activated carbon. Other microporous substances include volcanic ashes, soil, fly ash, cement, and concrete.

The water content in a microporous substance to be used in the production of a denitrifying composition of this invention is preferably 30% or less while the particle size is preferably 5 mm or less, more preferably 1 mm or less, in length. However, carbon derived from rice hull, uncaked shirasu, uncaked volcanic ashes, and uncaked soil may be used with no particular adjustment of the particle size.

A microporous substance contained in a denitrifying composition of this invention may advantageously be a cation exchanger. Such cation exchangers include natural zeolites, synthetic zeolites, and bentonite. A cation exchanger, when substituted for a microporous substance, can remove ammoniacal nitrogen from effluent by adsorption and, besides, can hold the negatively charged microorganisms in the denitrifying composition by adsorbing calcium ions produced by the denitrification reaction. If necessary, it is possible to add

09727793, 061201

sand, slag, and others to a denitrifying composition of this invention to the extent that the function of the composition is not adversely affected.

The ratio of sulfur to calcium carbonate in a denitrifying composition of this invention is not restricted as long as the sulfur works as a binder or matrix and gives a solid with a certain degree of strength, but the ratio by weight of sulfur to calcium carbonate is preferably 3:1 to 1:3, more preferably 2:1 to 1:2. Both sulfur and calcium carbonate serve as nutrients and gradually diminish and it is not advantageous to set the ratio in such a manner as to markedly destroy the balance of the two. The amount of sulfur is kept in the range 25-75%, preferably 33-67%, of the whole even in the cases where a microporous substance and others are added. A more preferable proportion is 10 parts by weight of sulfur, 10-15 parts by weight of calcium carbonate or a substance mainly comprising thereof and 1-3 parts by weight of a microporous substance. Where a cation exchanger is used as a microporous substance, zeolite is substituted to an amount corresponding to 5-20%, preferably 10% or so, of calcium carbonate while bentonite is substituted to an amount corresponding to 1-5%, preferably 2% or so, of calcium carbonate.

There is no particular restriction to the shape of a denitrifying composition of this invention, but it is advantageous for the composition to have a certain size and a surface area as large as possible in order to increase the contact area with raw water or effluent to be treated and to prevent the composition itself from flowing out. Therefore, a preferable shape is massive, granular, or molded. The molded form here means a form with a specific shape such as plate, bar, and honeycomb. In case of a mass, a particle diameter of 0.25-1 mm produces the anticipated effect faster than those with a particle diameter of

1.3 mm or 3.5 mm, but a smaller diameter has a larger possibility of blocking and flow-out loss. Hence, the optimal size varies with the mode of usage. The average particle diameter for a long-term industrial use is adequately 2.50 mm and is advantageously kept below 100 mm.

A denitrifying composition of this invention is produced as follows: where sulfur, calcium carbonate or a substance comprising mainly thereof, and a microporous substance are used, they are mixed, the sulfur is melted by heating at 112-180°C, preferably 112-125°C, the mixture is rapidly cooled, for example, by throwing it into water, and the resulting solid is crushed or granulated.

Instead of melting sulfur by heating, sulfur melted in advance may be used. That is, sulfur is melted first, calcium carbonate, a microporous substance, and other substances to be added as needed are added, mixed, and rapidly cooled. During this operation, it is preferable to add calcium carbonate, a microporous substance, and other substances in the form of powder or granule as mentioned earlier. Here, the specific surface area of calcium carbonate in use is 2,000-5,000 cm²/g, preferably 2,500-4,000 cm²/g, by air permeability determination. A larger particle size is more advantageous where calcium carbonate is used in a larger amount and calcium carbonate with a particle diameter of 5 mm or so can be used up to approximately three times the amount of sulfur.

Calcium carbonate with a smaller particle diameter is more effective for enhancing the activity of microorganisms, but a specific surface area in excess of 5,000 cm²/g by air permeability determination decreases the bulk specific gravity and it becomes difficult for calcium carbonate to coexist in an amount

09787793 061201

required for maintaining the pH at a neutral level. With a specific surface area of 2,500-4,000 cm²/g, it is possible to obtain not only good granules or masses but also the coexistence of calcium carbonate and sulfur at a weight ratio of approximately 1:1. The ratio of calcium carbonate to sulfur is 30-300 parts by weight, preferably 100-150 parts by weight, more preferably 100-120 parts by weight, of calcium carbonate to 100 parts by weight of sulfur, and most preferably the two are used in approximately the same amount. A smaller amount of calcium carbonate causes a shortage of calcium carbonate necessary for the neutralization reaction while a larger amount causes a shortage of the ability to act as a binder of sulfur and makes it difficult to obtain a stable and strong solid.

For incorporation of a microporous substance, 10 parts by weight of sulfur, 10-15 parts by weight of calcium carbonate, and 1-3 parts by weight of a microporous substance are mixed, the sulfur is melted to form a homogeneous dispersion, and the dispersion is solidified by rapid cooling.

After solidification by rapid cooling, the solid is crushed to masses or granules or further processed to molded forms. Crushing renews the surface and exposes faces other than the sulfur layer with the resultant increase in performance as denitrifying material.

The solid obtained by this invention can be crushed or granulated in the usual manner and a special granulating step such as pressing is not required. Moreover, when the solid obtained by this invention is so crushed as to conform to the target particle diameter, the whole surface formed by crushing acts effectively to let microorganisms manifest their activity and, while not in use, is oxidized with difficulty by oxygen in air and exhibits good storage

stability.

A denitrifying material of this invention comprises a denitrifying composition of this invention and mineral fibers. Mineral fibers to be mixed with a denitrifying composition include rock wool, glass wool, ceramic wool, and carbon wool, either singly or as a mixture of two kinds or more, and inexpensive rock wool is preferable. Rock wool is readily processed to granular products, exhibits excellent water retention, has openings suitable for the growth of microorganisms, and performs a function of neutralizing even highly acidic effluent because of its basic chemistry.

Rock wool is obtained by melting a variety of metallurgical slags such as blast furnace slag and electric furnace slag, natural rocks such as basalt and diabase, or a mixture of the foregoing in an electric furnace or a cupola and converting the melt to fibers by application of centrifugal force and/or pressurized gas. Rock wool is mainly composed of CaO , SiO_2 and Al_2O_3 and additionally contains MgO , Fe_2O_3 and the like. Granular rock wool is obtained by processing rock wool by a granulator and it is useful when its particle diameter is 1-50 mm, preferably 5-20 mm. Also useful is a material obtained by molding a mixture of rock wool and a resin binder into a board followed by cutting or crushing or a material obtained by solidifying a mixture of granular rock wool and an inorganic hydraulic binder. Rock wool of this type can be mixed as it is with a denitrifying composition or, if necessary, after preparation of particles of uniform size by such operation as classification.

Mixing of a denitrifying composition and mineral fibers can be carried out by preparing the two in the desired shape and size by such means as crushing and then blending the two in a known mixer such as drum tumbler and ribbon

blender. The mixing ratio of the two is 100 parts by weight of the denitrifying composition and 5 parts by weight or more, preferably 10-500 parts by weight, of the mineral fibers. A smaller amount of mineral fibers leads to a lower ability of the denitrifying composition to retain water and the portion of the denitrifying composition protruding from the surface of the water during a dearth of water becomes dry.

Mixing of mineral fibers in this manner allows a denitrifying material to maintain its ability to retain water even during a dearth of water. That is, water is sucked up from the mineral fibers in water to those above the surface of the water by capillary action and a denitrifying material containing a denitrifying composition and protruding above the surface of the water does not dry even during a dearth of water and sulfur-oxidizing bacteria do not perish. Effluent sucked up into the denitrifying material above the surface of the water is also denitrified.

Rock wool is rich in mineral ingredients such as Mn, Zn, Cu, Mo, Fe, and B and they elute and produce an effect to activate sulfur-oxidizing bacteria. Moreover, a large volume of openings present in mineral fibers is suitable for the growth of other microorganisms such as ammonia-assimilating bacteria and protozoa that decompose organic matters.

A denitrifying composition obtained in this manner and a denitrifying material containing the composition are suitable for purifying water relating to nitrates nitrogen while removing nitrates nitrogen of high concentration and preventing the pH of treated water from becoming strongly acidic.

The reason for this beneficial effect of a denitrifying composition of this invention is the coexistence of calcium carbonate and sulfur in the same

particle. Furthermore, the additional coexistence of a microporous substance simultaneously provides micropores for a carrier or a dwelling of sulfur-oxidizing bacteria or for a place of colonization and growth of bacteria. Calcium carbonate and sulfur acting as nutrients make it unnecessary to supply from outside sulfur that is a source of nutrient and carbon that is necessary for the synthesis of bacteria and the active region of sulfur-oxidizing bacteria is nearly neutral in pH where a high microbial activity is maintained. With a composition such as this, the pH is measured nearly neutral and ions are in good balance. Hence, the denitrifying ability improves markedly and contributes a great deal to improvement of water quality; for example, nitrates nitrogen present even at high concentration exceeding 150 ppm in stagnant water can surely be removed.

The aforementioned situation is explained with reference to Fig. 1 wherein calcium carbonate (CaCO_3) is dispersed in sulfur (S). A microporous substance is also present dispersed in sulfur (S). Sulfur-oxidizing bacteria dwell not only near the surface of the denitrifying composition but also inside the microporous substance and their principal active region is near the surface of the denitrifying composition. This active region which is in contact with the water to be treated is nearly neutral.

In contrast, in accordance with the method for mixing individual particles of calcium carbonate and sulfur as shown in Fig. 2, the pH of the treated water is seemingly neutral and the resulting balance of ions is seemingly good, but the region near the surface of a sulfur (S) particle which is the active region of sulfur-oxidizing bacteria becomes acidic and the microbial activity diminishes. Also, the region near the surface of a calcium carbonate (CaCO_3) particle

becomes alkaline.

A denitrifying composition of this invention can stably perform denitrification at all flow velocities excepting the limiting flow velocity. Moreover, calcium carbonate in coexistence is in an amount sufficiently reactive to neutralize the produced sulfuric acid and the system does not turn alkaline nor acidic and affects the water area insignificantly. Furthermore, negatively charged microorganisms can be retained as a result of adsorption of ammoniacal nitrogen by addition of a substance possessing an ion exchange capacity and adsorption of calcium ions effected separately. It is also possible to let phosphoric acid adhere to a part of calcium carbonate and let plants utilize such adhering phosphoric acid. For example, with the use of a denitrifying composition of this invention, it is possible to promote purification of water by applying a yellow iris with a denitrifying composition of this invention used as culture medium.

A denitrifying composition and a denitrifying material of this invention can be used for treating effluent containing nitrates nitrogen, for example, treating factory effluent, city sewage, and agricultural effluent such as effluent of underdrains in farms. The composition or the material may be used in a variety of manners, for example, it is placed in a cage or a net and immersed in effluent, a column is packed with it and effluent is passed through the packed column, or it is dispersed in a tank and brought into contact with effluent; however, it is advantageous to immerse it in the channels for effluent of underdrains and use it over a long period of time. Continuous use for several years is feasible if the target is effluent of relatively low concentration of nitrates nitrogen such as agricultural effluent.

Brief Description of the Drawings

Fig. 1 is a schematic illustration of the surface and inner structure of a denitrifying composition of this invention. Fig. 2 is a schematic illustration of the surface and inner structure of a denitrifying composition prepared by merely mixing the particles of calcium carbonate and sulfur.

Preferred Embodiments of the Invention

Example 1

In a vat were placed 10 parts by weight of calcium carbonate powder (specific surface area, $3,100 \text{ cm}^2/\text{g}$) and 10 parts by weight of sulfur, the contents were heated at approximately 120°C to melt the sulfur, and mixed homogeneously by stirring. The mixture was then thrown into a large volume of water at normal temperature for rapid cooling, solidified, and crushed to prepare a denitrifying composition (invention 4) with a particle diameter of 5-10 mm.

Example 2 and Comparative Example 1

A denitrifying composition (invention 1) was prepared as in Example 1 by using 10 parts by weight of calcium carbonate powder (specific surface area, $3,100 \text{ cm}^2/\text{g}$), 10 parts by weight of sulfur, and 3 parts by weight of carbon derived from rice hull.

Likewise, a denitrifying composition (invention 2) was prepared as in Example 1 by using 10 parts by weight of calcium carbonate powder (specific

surface area, 3,100 cm²/g), 10 parts by weight of sulfur, 1 part by weight of carbon derived from rice hull, and 1 part by weight of kieselguhr.

Additionally, a denitrifying composition (invention 3) was prepared as in Example 1 by using 10 parts by weight of calcium carbonate powder (specific surface area, 3,100 cm²/g), 10 parts by weight of sulfur, and 2 parts by weight of kieselguhr.

As a comparative example, a material (comparison 1) was prepared by merely mixing 10 parts by weight of granular sulfur with a particle diameter of 5-10 mm and 10 parts by weight of calcium carbonate particles.

Example 3

Each of inventions 1 to 4 and comparison 1 prepared in Examples 1 and 2 and Comparative Example 1 was taken as specimen, placed in a flask together with test water, and batch-tested for denitrification at an average water temperature of 20°C. The test water was prepared by adding 1 wt % of river sediment (containing sulfur-oxidizing bacteria) sampled from underdrains in Fukaya City, Saitama Prefecture, to raw water which was an aqueous solution (aqueous KNO₃) with a nitrate nitrogen concentration of 150 mg/l. For comparison, a test was conducted similarly free of any of the specimens by using test water containing only the river sediment.

Table 1 shows a decrease in the concentration (mg/l) of nitrates nitrogen in the batch test. Any of the inventions was confirmed to remove nitrates nitrogen of high concentration satisfactorily.

Table 1

Specimen	Starting day	After 5 days	After 10 days	After 15 days	After 20 days	After 30 days
Invention 1	175	111	68	45	14	8
Invention 2	175	111	75	52	18	10
Invention 3	175	111	79	52	19	8
Invention 4	175	122	93	77	29	20
Comparison 1	175	122	122	131	80	82
None	175	173	172	172	172	172

The pH of the system changed as shown in Table 2 and the pH of the treated water was confirmed not to turn strongly acidic.

Table 2

Specimen	After 5 days	After 10 days	After 15 days	After 20 days	After 30 days
Invention 1	6.7	6.7	6.6	6.6	6.5
Invention 2	6.6	6.5	6.6	6.5	6.5
Invention 3	6.8	6.8	6.9	6.6	6.5
Invention 4	6.8	6.7	6.7	6.6	6.6
Comparison 1	6.8	6.7	6.7	6.6	6.5
None	6.7	6.0	4.5	4.9	4.3

The concentrations in mg/l of sulfate ions and calcium ions were determined 20 days after the start of denitrification and the results are shown in Table 3.

Table 3

	SO_4^{2-}	Ca^{2+}
Invention 1	503	523
Invention 4	495	520
Comparison 1	480	540

Example 4

Sulfur powder was melted at 120°C, mixed homogenously with lime powder at a ratio by weight of 1:1, and the mixture was cooled rapidly and crushed to a particle diameter of 5-20 mm to prepare a denitrifying composition (invention 5). A test plant was filled with 80 kg (0.94 m³) of the composition, and effluent of the following properties from underdrains in a farm was treated by passage through the test plant: pH, 6.8-8.0; SS, 0-3 mg/l; NH₄-N, 0.01 mg/l or less; NO₃-N, 60-93 mg/l; SO₄²⁻-S, 22-37 mg/l; Ca²⁺, 90-132 mg/l. Before the start of the experiment, 100 g of the actual soil cultivated on the Taylor culture medium as microorganism source was domesticated for 8 days in a container filled with effluent from underdrains.

When the experiment was carried out by controlling the loading rate (amount of raw water per day/amount filled of denitrifying composition) at 0.5-10, removal of 99% or more of the concentration of nitrates nitrogen in raw water was obtained at an increasingly higher loading rate up to approximately twice per day and the treated water showed the following properties: pH, 6.8-7.3; SS, 0 mg/l; NH₄-N, 0.4-1.4 mg/l; SO₄²⁻-S, 184-214 mg/l; Ca²⁺, 170-230 mg/l. The maximum denitrifying rate then was 207 g as nitrogen per day per 1

ton. There was no channeling and dislocation of bubbles and a stable operation was possible during the test period extending over approximately 6 months.

Example 5

Sulfur powder was melted at 150°C, mixed homogeneously with heavy calcium carbonate (T-200, product of K.K. Nitchitsu, Blaine specific surface area 2,800 cm²) at a ratio by weight of 1:1, rapidly cooled by cold water, and crushed to a particle diameter of 5-20 mm to prepare a denitrifying composition.

In a ribbon blender were placed 100 parts by weight of the denitrifying composition and 20 parts by weight of granular rock wool (S-fiber, product of Shinnikka Rock Wool K.K., average particle diameter 30 mm) to prepare a denitrifying material.

The material was used in batch test for denitrifying raw water prepared by adding 50 ml of a commercial culture of sulfur-oxidizing bacteria (DSM807) to 1,000 ml of artificial effluent that was prepared by adding nitrates nitrogen to pure water at a rate of 150 mg/l.

The denitrifying test was carried out by introducing 150 ml of the aforementioned raw water to a glass vessel containing 300 g of the denitrifying material and keeping the contents at an average water temperature of 20 °C for 7 days. The degree of removal of nitrates nitrogen after 7 days was 100%. Thereafter, the amount of the aforementioned raw water was increased to 1,000 ml in order to immerse the portion of the denitrifying material protruding above the surface of the raw water and the test was continued for another 7 days. The removal of nitrogen after a total of 14 days was 100%.

Example 6

A denitrifying material was prepared as in Example 5 except adding 10 parts by weight of carbon derived from rice hull and the denitrification test was carried out as in Example 5. The removal of nitrogen was 100% after 7 days and it was also 100% after 7 days from the time of increasing the amount of the raw water or after a total of 14 days.

As is apparent from the aforementioned examples, where a denitrifying material was prepared by mixing a denitrifying composition with granular rock wool, the denitrifying activity of sulfur-oxidizing bacteria does not diminish even when the material in part protrudes above the surface of the raw water and the degree of denitrification as a whole can be prevented from dropping.

Industrial Applicability

A denitrifying composition of this invention for microbially removing nitrates nitrogen performs excellently with a high degree of removal of nitrates nitrogen because of the coexistence therein of calcium carbonate and sulfur; the composition excludes the need to supply essential nutrients for the microorganisms, maintains a good balance in the system before and after the reaction and can be used directly as substrate for cultivation of the microorganisms. Moreover, since calcium carbonate coexists in an amount sufficiently reactive to neutralize sulfuric acid being formed, the composition turns neither alkaline nor acidic, influences the water area insignificantly, and can be applied to treating effluent containing a variety of nitrates nitrogen. A denitrifying composition of this invention which contains a substance mainly

09737793 "051201
1021190" 06728760

consisting of calcium carbonate and sulfur as main components and a microporous substance as a co-existing component performs excellently in removing nitrates nitrogen present in high concentration in effluent of underdrains in heavily manuring farms, the growth of bacteria occurs smoothly as sulfur that is nutrient for sulfur-oxidizing bacteria is oxidized as needed by the bacteria and carbon that is necessary for the synthesis of bacteria coexist and, at the same time, the removal of nitrogen proceeds efficiently as micropores provide places for colonization of bacteria. Moreover, the pH is well balanced and maintained at 6.5-7.5 in the system. With the use of a denitrifying composition of this invention, water is retained during a dearth of water, the substrate for removing nitrates nitrogen protruding above the surface of the water does not dry, and sulfur-oxidizing bacteria do not perish. Furthermore, the mineral components in mineral fibers are effective for activating sulfur-oxidizing bacteria.

A process of this invention for producing the aforementioned denitrifying composition can be practiced efficiently in a short time at low cost.

What is claimed is:

- (1) A denitrifying composition for microbially removing nitrates nitrogen comprising particles of calcium carbonate dispersed in sulfur.
- (2) A denitrifying composition as described in claim 1 wherein particles of calcium carbonate and those of a substance possessing cation exchange capacity are dispersed in sulfur.
- (3) A denitrifying composition as described in claim 1 wherein particles of calcium carbonate and those of a microporous substance are dispersed in sulfur.
- (4) A denitrifying composition as described in any one of claims 1 to 3 wherein the ratio by weight of sulfur to calcium carbonate is 1:0.3 to 1:3.
- (5) A denitrifying composition as described in claim 3 wherein 10 parts by weight of sulfur coexists with 10-15 parts by weight of calcium carbonate and 1-3 parts by weight of a microporous substance.
- (6) A denitrifying composition as described in any one of claims 1 to 5 wherein said sulfur is amorphous sulfur.
- (7) A denitrifying composition as described in claim 1 wherein the shape of said composition is granular, massive or molded.
- (8) A denitrifying material comprising a mixture of a denitrifying composition as described in any one of claims 1 to 7 and mineral fibers.
- (9) A denitrifying material as described in claim 8 wherein said mineral fibers are rock wool.
- (10) A process for producing a denitrifying composition for microbially removing nitrates nitrogen which comprises heating powder of calcium carbonate thereby melting the sulfur and dispersing the powder of calcium

carbonate in the liquid sulfur and solidifying the dispersion by rapid cooling.

(11) A process for producing a denitrifying composition as described in claim 10 which comprises mixing 10 parts by weight of sulfur, 10-15 parts by weight of powder of calcium carbonate and 1-3 parts by weight of powder of a microporous substance, melting the sulfur, dispersing the powders of calcium carbonate and the microporous substance in liquid sulfur and solidifying the dispersion by rapid cooling.

(12) A process for producing a denitrifying composition as described in claim 10 or 11 wherein the powder of calcium carbonate exhibits a specific surface area of 2,000-5,000 cm²/g by air permeability determination.

(13) A process for producing a denitrifying composition as described in claim 11 wherein the microporous substance is a mineral product such as kieselguhr or a carbonaceous materials such as charcoal.

(14) A process for producing a denitrifying composition as described in claim 10 or 11 which comprises crushing or molding the solid obtained by rapid cooling into a massive, granular or molded form.

00787793-061204

Abstract

This invention relates to a denitrifying composition which is a material to be used for decomposing nitrates nitrogen in effluent by sulfur-oxidizing bacteria that consume sulfur and carbonate as nutrients and is characterized by containing particles of calcium carbonate dispersed in sulfur. Preferably, the composition contains 10 parts by weight of sulfur coexisting with 10-15 parts by weight of calcium carbonate and 1-3 parts by weight of a microporous substance. This denitrifying composition can be prepared by heating powder of calcium carbonate and sulfur thereby melting the sulfur, dispersing the powder of calcium carbonate in liquid sulfur and solidifying the dispersion by rapid cooling. The composition simultaneously contains nutrients and alkali source and hence enables denitrification to proceed stably without addition of other components.

0978793 061201

Fig.1





Fig.2



PLEASE NOTE:
YOU MUST
COMPLETE THE
FOLLOWING:

COMBINED DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
1752-0143P

FOR PATENT AND DESIGN APPLICATIONS

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that: my residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated next to my name; that I verily believe that I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one inventor is named below) or an original, first and joint inventor (if plural inventors are named below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled:

Insert Title:

DENITRIFYING COMPOSITION FOR REMOVING NITRATE NITROGEN AND PROCESS
FOR PRODUCING THE SAME

Fill in Appropriate
Information -
For Use Without
Specification
Attached:

the specification of which is attached hereto. If not attached hereto,

the specification was filed on March 23, 2001 as
United States Application Number 09/787,793; and /or

the specification was filed on September 24, 1999 as PCT
International Application Number PCT/JP99/05226; and was
amended under PCT Article 19 on _____ (if applicable)

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to patentability as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, §1.56.

I do not know and do not believe the same was ever known or used in the United States of America before my or our invention thereof, or patented or described in any printed publication in any country before my or our invention thereof or more than one year prior to this application, that the same was not in public use or on sale in the United States of America more than one year prior to this application, that the invention has not been patented or made the subject of an inventor's certificate issued before the date of this application in any country foreign to the United States of America on an application filed by me or my legal representatives or assigns more than twelve months (six months for designs) prior to this application, and that no application for patent or inventor's certificate on this invention has been filed in any country foreign to the United States of America prior to this application by me or my legal representatives or assigns, except as follows.

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under Title 35, United States Code, §119 (a)-(d) of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed:

Insert Priority
Information:
(if appropriate)

Prior Foreign Application(s)

271920/1998

Japan

09/25/1998

Priority Claimed

☒ Yes ☐ No

(Number)

(Country)

(Month/Day/Year Filed)

226206/1999

Japan

08/10/1999

☒ Yes ☐ No

(Number)

(Country)

(Month/Day/Year Filed)

(Number)

(Country)

(Month/Day/Year Filed)

☐ Yes ☐ No

(Number)

(Country)

(Month/Day/Year Filed)

☐ Yes ☐ No

(Number)

(Country)

(Month/Day/Year Filed)

☐ Yes ☐ No

Insert Provisional
Application(s):
(if any)

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, §119(e) of any United States provisional application(s) listed below.

(Application Number)

(Filing Date)

(Application Number)

(Filing Date)

All Foreign Applications, if any, for any Patent or Inventor's Certificate Filed More Than 12 Months (6 Months for Designs) Prior To The Filing Date of This Application:

Insert Requested
Information:
(if appropriate)

Country

Application No.

Date of Filing (Month/Day/Year)

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, §120 of any United States application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code, §112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to patentability as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, §1.56 which became available between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:

Insert Prior U.S.
Application(s):
(if any)

(Application Number)

(Filing Date)

(Status - patented, pending, abandoned)

(Application Number)

(Filing Date)

(Status - patented, pending, abandoned)

I hereby appoint the following attorneys to prosecute this application and/or an international application based on this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith and in connection with the resulting patent based on instructions received from the entity who first sent the application papers to the attorneys identified below, unless the inventor(s) or assignee provides said attorneys with a written notice to the contrary:

15 Terrell C. Birch (Reg. No. 19,382) Raymond C. Stewart (Reg. No. 21,066)
 Joseph A. Kolasch (Reg. No. 22,463) James M. Slattery (Reg. No. 28,380)
 Bernard L. Sweeney (Reg. No. 24,448) Michael K. Mutter (Reg. No. 29,680)
 Charles Gorenstein (Reg. No. 29,271) Gerald M. Murphy, Jr. (Reg. No. 28,977)
 Leonard R. Svensson (Reg. No. 30,330) Terry L. Clark (Reg. No. 32,644)
 Andrew D. Meikle (Reg. No. 32,868) Marc S. Weiner (Reg. No. 32,181)
 Joe McKinney Muncy (Reg. No. 32,334) Donald J. Daley (Reg. No. 34,313)
 C. Joseph Faraci (Reg. No. 32,350)

Send Correspondence to: **BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP**
P.O. Box 747 • Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747
Telephone: (703) 205-8000 • Facsimile: (703) 205-8050

PLEASE NOTE:
 YOU MUST
 COMPLETE THE
 FOLLOWING:

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

Full Name of First or Sole
 Inventor:
 Insert Name of Inventor
 Insert Date This
 Document is Signed

Insert Residence
 Insert Citizenship

Insert Post Office
 Address

Full Name of Second
 Inventor, if any:

see above

Full Name of Third
 Inventor, if any:

see above

Full Name of Fourth
 Inventor, if any:

see above

Full Name of Fifth
 Inventor, if any:

see above

GIVEN NAME <u>Atsushi</u>	FAMILY NAME <u>YATAGAI</u>	INVENTOR'S SIGNATURE <u>Atsushi Yatagai</u>	DATE* <u>April 5, 2001</u>
Residence (City, State & Country) <u>Chichibu-gun, Saitama-ken Japan</u>		CITIZENSHIP <u>Japanese</u>	
POST OFFICE ADDRESS (Complete Street Address including City, State & Country) <u>c/o Laboratory for Powder Technology, NITCITSU CO., LTD.,</u> <u>351-1, Kamitano, Arakawa-mura, Chichibu-gun, Saitama-ken, 369-1802 Japan</u>			
GIVEN NAME <u>Tomomichi</u>	FAMILY NAME <u>GUNJI</u>	INVENTOR'S SIGNATURE <u>Tomomichi Gunji</u>	DATE* <u>April 5, 2001</u>
Residence (City, State & Country) <u>Chichibu-gun, Saitama-ken Japan</u>		CITIZENSHIP <u>Japanese</u>	
POST OFFICE ADDRESS (Complete Street Address including City, State & Country) <u>c/o Laboratory for Powder Technology, NITCITSU CO., LTD.,</u> <u>351-1, Kamitano, Arakawa-mura, Chichibu-gun, Saitama-ken, 369-1802 Japan</u>			
GIVEN NAME	FAMILY NAME	INVENTOR'S SIGNATURE	DATE*
Residence (City, State & Country)		CITIZENSHIP	
POST OFFICE ADDRESS (Complete Street Address including City, State & Country)			
GIVEN NAME	FAMILY NAME	INVENTOR'S SIGNATURE	DATE*
Residence (City, State & Country)		CITIZENSHIP	
POST OFFICE ADDRESS (Complete Street Address including City, State & Country)			
GIVEN NAME	FAMILY NAME	INVENTOR'S SIGNATURE	DATE*
Residence (City, State & Country)		CITIZENSHIP	
POST OFFICE ADDRESS (Complete Street Address including City, State & Country)			

* DATE OF SIGNATURE

I hereby appoint the following attorneys to prosecute this application and/or an international application based on this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith and in connection with the resulting patent based on instructions received from the entity who first sent the application papers to the attorneys identified below, unless the inventor(s) or assignee provides said attorneys with a written notice to the contrary:

Terrell C. Birch (Reg. No. 19,382)
 Joseph A. Kolasch (Reg. No. 22,463)
 Bernard L. Sweeney (Reg. No. 24,448)
 Charles Gorenstein (Reg. No. 29,271)
 Leonard R. Svensson (Reg. No. 30,330)
 Andrew D. Meikle (Reg. No. 32,868)
 Joe McKinney Muncy (Reg. No. 32,334)
 C. Joseph Faraci (Reg. No. 32,350)

Raymond C. Stewart (Reg. No. 21,066)
 James M. Slattery (Reg. No. 28,380)
 Michael K. Mutter (Reg. No. 29,680)
 Gerald M. Murphy, Jr. (Reg. No. 28,977)
 Terry L. Clark (Reg. No. 32,644)
 Marc S. Weiner (Reg. No. 32,181)
 Donald J. Daley (Reg. No. 34,313)

Send Correspondence to:


BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

P.O. Box 747 • Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747

Telephone: (703) 205-8000 • Facsimile: (703) 205-8050

PLEASE NOTE:
 YOU MUST
 COMPLETE THE
 FOLLOWING:

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

Full Name of First or Sole Inventor Insert Name of Inventor Insert Date This Document is Signed Insert Residence Insert Citizenship Insert Post Office Address	GIVEN NAME FAMILY NAME <u>Hiroshi</u> <u>MASUJIMA</u>		INVENTOR'S SIGNATURE 	DATE* <u>April 5, 2001</u>
	Residence (City, State & Country) <u>Urawa-shi, Saitama-ken Japan</u>		CITIZENSHIP <u>Japanese</u>	
	POST OFFICE ADDRESS (Complete Street Address including City, State & Country) <u>6-25-606, Yotsuya 2-chome, Urawa-shi, Saitama-ken, 336-0032 Japan</u>			
Full Name of Second Inventor, if any see above	GIVEN NAME FAMILY NAME		INVENTOR'S SIGNATURE	DATE*
	Residence (City, State & Country)		CITIZENSHIP	
	POST OFFICE ADDRESS (Complete Street Address including City, State & Country)			
Full Name of Third Inventor, if any see above	GIVEN NAME FAMILY NAME		INVENTOR'S SIGNATURE	DATE*
	Residence (City, State & Country)		CITIZENSHIP	
	POST OFFICE ADDRESS (Complete Street Address including City, State & Country)			
Full Name of Fourth Inventor, if any see above	GIVEN NAME FAMILY NAME		INVENTOR'S SIGNATURE	DATE*
	Residence (City, State & Country)		CITIZENSHIP	
	POST OFFICE ADDRESS (Complete Street Address including City, State & Country)			
Full Name of Fifth Inventor, if any see above	GIVEN NAME FAMILY NAME		INVENTOR'S SIGNATURE	DATE*
	Residence (City, State & Country)		CITIZENSHIP	
	POST OFFICE ADDRESS (Complete Street Address including City, State & Country)			

* DATE OF SIGNATURE

I hereby appoint the following attorneys to prosecute this application and/or an international application based on this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith and in connection with the resulting patent based on instructions received from the entity who first sent the application papers to the attorneys identified below, unless the inventor(s) or assignee provides said attorneys with a written notice to the contrary:

Terrell C. Birch (Reg. No. 19,382)
 Joseph A. Kolasch (Reg. No. 22,463)
 Bernard L. Sweeney (Reg. No. 24,448)
 Charles Gorenstein (Reg. No. 29,271)
 Leonard R. Svensson (Reg. No. 30,330)
 Andrew D. Meikle (Reg. No. 32,868)
 Joe McKinney Muncy (Reg. No. 32,334)
 C. Joseph Faraci (Reg. No. 32,350)

Raymond C. Stewart (Reg. No. 21,066)
 James M. Slattery (Reg. No. 28,380)
 Michael K. Mutter (Reg. No. 29,680)
 Gerald M. Murphy, Jr. (Reg. No. 28,977)
 Terry L. Clark (Reg. No. 32,644)
 Marc S. Weiner (Reg. No. 32,181)
 Donald J. Daley (Reg. No. 34,313)

Send Correspondence to:

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

P.O. Box 747 • Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747

Telephone: (703) 205-8000 • Facsimile: (703) 205-8050

PLEASE NOTE:
 YOU MUST
 COMPLETE THE
 FOLLOWING:



I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

Full Name of First or Sole Inventor Insert Date This Document is Signed Insert Residence Insert Citizenship Insert Post Office Address Address Full Name of Second Inventor, if any see above Full Name of Third Inventor, if any see above Full Name of Fourth Inventor, if any see above Full Name of Fifth Inventor, if any see above	GIVEN NAME FAMILY NAME <u>Takuma YABE</u>		INVENTOR'S SIGNATURE <u>Takuma YABE</u>	DATE* <u>April 5, 2001</u>
	Residence (City, State & Country) <u>Ageo-shi, Saitama-ken Japan Jpx</u>		CITIZENSHIP <u>Japanese</u>	
	POST OFFICE ADDRESS (Complete Street Address including City, State & Country) <u>3-110, Nakabun, Ageo-shi, Saitama-ken, 362-0067 Japan</u>			
	GIVEN NAME FAMILY NAME Residence (City, State & Country) POST OFFICE ADDRESS (Complete Street Address including City, State & Country) 		INVENTOR'S SIGNATURE CITIZENSHIP 	DATE*
	GIVEN NAME FAMILY NAME Residence (City, State & Country) POST OFFICE ADDRESS (Complete Street Address including City, State & Country) 		INVENTOR'S SIGNATURE CITIZENSHIP 	DATE*
	GIVEN NAME FAMILY NAME Residence (City, State & Country) POST OFFICE ADDRESS (Complete Street Address including City, State & Country) 		INVENTOR'S SIGNATURE CITIZENSHIP 	DATE*
	GIVEN NAME FAMILY NAME Residence (City, State & Country) POST OFFICE ADDRESS (Complete Street Address including City, State & Country) 		INVENTOR'S SIGNATURE CITIZENSHIP 	DATE*

* DATE OF SIGNATURE

I hereby appoint the following attorneys to prosecute this application and/or an international application based on this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith and in connection with the resulting patent based on instructions received from the entity who first sent the application papers to the attorneys identified below, unless the inventor(s) or assignee provides said attorneys with a written notice to the contrary:

Terrell C. Birch (Reg. No. 19,382)
Joseph A. Kolasch (Reg. No. 22,463)
Bernard L. Sweeney (Reg. No. 24,448)
Charles Gorenstein (Reg. No. 29,271)
Leonard R. Svensson (Reg. No. 30,330)
Andrew D. Meikle (Reg. No. 32,868)
Joe McKinney Muncy (Reg. No. 32,334)
C. Joseph Faraci (Reg. No. 32,350)

Raymond C. Stewart (Reg. No. 21,066)
James M. Slattery (Reg. No. 28,380)
Michael K. Mutter (Reg. No. 29,680)
Gerald M. Murphy, Jr. (Reg. No. 28,977)
Terry L. Clark (Reg. No. 32,644)
Marc S. Weiner (Reg. No. 32,181)
Donald J. Daley (Reg. No. 34,313)

Send Correspondence to:

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

P.O. Box 747 • Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747

Telephone: (703) 205-8000 • Facsimile: (703) 205-8050

PLEASE NOTE:
YOU MUST
COMPLETE THE
FOLLOWING:



I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

Full Name of First or Sole
Inventor:
Insert Name of Inventor
Insert Date This
Document is Signed

Insert Residence
Insert Citizenship

Insert Post Office
Address

Full Name of Second
Inventor, if any

see above

Full Name of Third
Inventor, if any

see above

Full Name of Fourth
Inventor, if any

see above

Full Name of Fifth
Inventor, if any

see above

GIVEN NAME <u>Toru</u>		FAMILY NAME <u>OISHI</u>		INVENTOR'S SIGNATURE <u>Toru Oishi</u>	DATE* April 5, 2001
Residence (City, State & Country) Kisarazu-shi, Chiba-ken Japan				CITIZENSHIP Japanese	
POST OFFICE ADDRESS (Complete Street Address including City, State & Country) c/o Research & Development Laboratories, Nippon Steel Chemical Co., Ltd., 15-1, Shinminato, Kisarazu-shi, Chiba-ken 292-0836 Japan					
GIVEN NAME		FAMILY NAME		INVENTOR'S SIGNATURE	DATE*
Residence (City, State & Country)				CITIZENSHIP	
POST OFFICE ADDRESS (Complete Street Address including City, State & Country)					
GIVEN NAME		FAMILY NAME		INVENTOR'S SIGNATURE	DATE*
Residence (City, State & Country)				CITIZENSHIP	
POST OFFICE ADDRESS (Complete Street Address including City, State & Country)					
GIVEN NAME		FAMILY NAME		INVENTOR'S SIGNATURE	DATE*
Residence (City, State & Country)				CITIZENSHIP	
POST OFFICE ADDRESS (Complete Street Address including City, State & Country)					
GIVEN NAME		FAMILY NAME		INVENTOR'S SIGNATURE	DATE*
Residence (City, State & Country)				CITIZENSHIP	
POST OFFICE ADDRESS (Complete Street Address including City, State & Country)					

* DATE OF SIGNATURE